



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/661,463	09/12/2003	Andreas Hartlep	SCHWP0176USA	7155

7590 11/30/2005
RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, LLP
Nineteenth Floor
1621 Euclid Avenue
Cleveland, OH 44115-2191

EXAMINER

BELL, ALLISON S

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3737

DATE MAILED: 11/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SJP

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/661,463	Applicant(s) HARTLEP ET AL.	
	Examiner Allison S. Bell	Art Unit 3737	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/12/03 & 8/10/05</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____. |

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been received.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 9/12/2003 was filed. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

The claimed invention in Claim 13 is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claim is directed to a computer program without direct mention of a computer storage medium.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3737

Claim 1-5 and 8-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kucharczyk (6,298,259) in view of Howard, III (6,129,685) and Howard, III (5,820,588). The invention of Kucharczyk discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the invention being related to planned stimulation with specific regard to the manifestation of systemic tinnitus.

Kucharczyk discloses a method for planning the stimulation of cortical regions including imaging structural features (col 2, lines 24-29) and imaging functional regions (col 11, lines 55-63). The method of Kucharczyk is capable of detecting brain function (col 11, lines 55-63) and, therefore, would be capable of detecting hyper/hypometabolic cortical areas. Kucharczyk discloses registration of image sets (col 17, lines 28-33) and referencing the position of different cortical areas as part of a medical navigation system (col 17, lines 13-17; col 17, lines 33-49). The invention of Kucharczyk is capable of planned stimulation. Kucharczyk discloses the navigation system as being capable of magnetically detecting positional coils (col 17, lines 17-28). Kucharczyk discloses a medical probe capable of cortical stimulation (col 12, lines 6-16). Kucharczyk discloses the method as being capable of determining navigation data as well as targeted or optimal regions for stimulation wherein a computer manages the data output (col 17, lines 4-8; col 11, lines 16-21) and wherein the computer has a storage medium (col 14, line 66 - col 15, line 3). Kucharczyk discloses stimulating a field distribution and determining stimulation areas (col 13, lines 12-40). Kucharczyk discloses calibrating the probe within the framework of planning, i.e. determining the initial position of the

Art Unit: 3737

probe (col 16, lines 18-20). Kucharczyk discloses that the use of optical imaging in surgical navigation (col 10, lines 38-43).

Howard, III (5,820,588) discloses a method for stimulation of regions in the auditory cortex in order to reduce the effects of tinnitus (col 7, lines 42-45).

Howard, III (6,129,685) discloses a method for planning stimulation of cortical regions including the primary auditory cortex wherein an electrode assembly having a magnetic tip is moved into a desired position within the target tissue by application of a magnetic field outside the patient's body (col 12, lines 24-31). Howard discloses a method for determining physiological patient data via this imaging method (col 20, lines 49-63) and would be capable of detecting positions of the hyper/hypometabolic cortical areas. Howard discloses methods for determining anatomical data and the position of the stimulator (col 12, lines 50-56). Howard discloses methods for stimulating localized regions of the auditory cortex (col 14, lines 56-60).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to have combined the planned stimulation surgical method of Kucharczyk with the teachings of Howard, III such that the method included planned stimulation of areas related to systemic tinnitus for the purpose of delivering electrical signals in order to reduce clinically significant auditory phenomena caused by tinnitus, a disorder that afflicts 9 million Americans with 2 million of those being severely disabled by the disorder (Howard, III (5,820,588), col 6, lines 36-45).

Art Unit: 3737

Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kucharczyk (6,298,259) in view of Hochman (6,196,226). The modified invention of Kucharczyk discloses the invention substantially as claimed except for the functional image detection method including at least one of (i) functional magnetic resonance image detection and (ii) positron emission tomography (PET) and the methods including optical navigation.

Hochman discloses methods including optically identifying and providing information regarding areas of cortical activity that could aid in the positioning of a probe or stimulator (col 4, lines 25-35). Hochman discloses the use of magnetic resonance (col 22, lines 17-21; col 12, lines 56-65) and discusses determining functional information from cortical areas (col 4, lines 8-12), rendering it obvious to apply functional magnetic resonance for the same purpose.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of applicant's invention to have combined the planned stimulation surgical methods of Kucharczyk with the teaching of Hochman such that the methods included the use of functional magnetic resonance imaging and optical navigation and detection of markers for the purpose of being able to properly position the stimulator probe to the cortical area of interest and obtain the desired physiologic result.

Art Unit: 3737

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Allison S. Bell whose telephone number is 571-272-2768. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8.30 am - 4.30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ASB


ALI IMAM
PRIMARY EXAMINER